

Schenectady, NY 12301

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/681,817 06/11/2001 Thomas Paul Feist RD-28,432 6879 7590 12/09/2004 EXAMINER Ann M Agosti HEITBRINK, JILL LYNNE General Electric Company Crd Patent Docketing Rm 4A59 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER PO Box 8 Building K-1 Salamone 1732

DATE MAILED: 12/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·				$\mu$
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	V
Office Action Summany		09/681,817	FEIST ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Jill L. Heitbrink	1732	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	ss
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION misions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N.  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repl eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (bot will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH ute. cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed  30) days will be considered timely.  S from the mailing date of this commu	unication.
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07	September 2004.		
		nis action is non-final.		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims			
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) 19-24 is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-5,8-18 and 25 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) 6 and 7 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>				
Applicati	on Papers			
	The specification is objected to by the Examir			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre The oath or declaration is objected to by the E			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment	(s)			
1) 🛛 Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Sumi	mary (PTO-413)	
3) 🛛 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 No(s)/Mail Date 10/19/01 1/3/01,2.	Paper No(s)/Ma	ail Date nal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
<b>D</b>				

Application/Control Number: 09/681,817

Art Unit: 1732

#### Election/Restrictions

Page 2

1. Claims 19-24 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on September 7, 2004.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Japanese reference 11-149673.
- 4. The Japanese reference discloses the injection molding of a data storage media having a positive image of the primary surface features 4a and secondary features a-h formed into the surface of the plastic substrate 11. The fine differences a-h secondary features on an exposed surface of the disposed identifier layer of the stamper 4.
- 5. Claims 1 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Dalla Verde Publication No. 2002/0081413 (provisional application filed on Dec. 27,

Art Unit: 1732

2000). Dalla Verde discloses a secondary feature on the stamper which is deposited on the stamper after the stamper has been sanded.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 2-5, 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Japanese reference 11-149673 or Dalla Verde Publication No. 2002/0081413 taken together with Kondo et al. Pat. No. 5,480,763.
- 8. Kondo teaches conventional stampers have pits and features formed by a laser beam, plasma etching or photolithography. The forming of the secondary features with a laser beam, plasma etching or photolithography would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art of forming a stamper since these are conventional forming methods for stampers.
- 9. Claims 8-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Japanese reference 11-149673 or Dalla Verde Publication No. 2002/0081413.
- 10. The size of the secondary features is disclosed by the Japanese reference Dalla Verde to be capable of forming a watermark on the optical disc substrate. The width of the peak or valley which forms the watermark would have obviously been within the

Application/Control Number: 09/681,817

Art Unit: 1732

claimed ranges so as to produce a watermark which is observable without interfering with the operation of the primary features.

### Allowable Subject Matter

11. Claims 6 and 7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The prior art does not teach the identifier layer with the secondary features being a managed heat transfer layer of the selected group of materials.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jill L. Heitbrink whose telephone number is (571) 272-1199. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9 am -2 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Colaianni can be reached on (571) 272-1196. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jill L. Heitbrink

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1732

jlh